# Inhibitive action of malachite green-Zn<sup>2+</sup> system

V.Johnsirani<sup>1</sup>, S. Rajendran<sup>\*1,2</sup>, J. Sathiyabama<sup>1</sup>, T.S. Muthumegala<sup>1</sup>, A.Krishnaveni<sup>3</sup>, N. Hajara Beevi<sup>4</sup>

1. PG and Research Department of Chemistry, GTN Arts College, Dindigul – 624005, Tamil Nadu, India.

RVS School of Engineering and Technology, Dindigul-624 005, Tamil Nadu, India.
 Department of Chemistry, Yadava College, Madurai, India.

4. Department of Chemistry, B.S. Abdur Rahman University, Vandalur, Chennai-600048, India.

Received January 7, 2011; Revised March 29, 2011

The inhibition efficiency (IE) of malachite green- (MG)  $Zn^{2+}$  system in controlling corrosion of carbon steel in well water containing 665 pm of Cl<sup>-</sup>(model corrosion medium) has been evaluated by weight loss method. Weight loss study reveals that the formulation consisting of 30 ppm of MG and 25 ppm of  $Zn^{2+}$  has 95% inhibition efficiency in controlling corrosion of carbon steel immersed in well water (model corrosion medium). Synergistic parameters suggest that a synergistic effect exists between MG and  $Zn^{2+}$ . Polarization study reveals that this system functions as cathodic type inhibitor controlling cathodic reaction predominantly. AC impedance spectra reveals that a protective film is formed on the metal surface. The FTIR spectra reveals that the protective film consists of Fe<sup>2+</sup>-MG complex. This is further confirmed by UV-visible spectra and fluorescence spectra. The colour of the dye can be removed by electrolysis using platinised titanium as anode and graphite as cathode.

Keywords : Carbon steel, corrosion inhibition, malachite green, F-Test, synergism parameter

# INTRODUCTION

Several compounds such as nitrates [1,2] phosphates [3,4] silicates [5] sodium salicylate [6] sodium cinnamate [7] molybdates [8,9] phosphonic acids [10,12] polyacrylamide [13] and caffeine [14,15] have been used as corrosion inhibitors. Talati and Gandhi have studied the effect of some dyes as corrosion inhibitors for B26S aluminium in hydrochloric acid [16,18]. The inhibition efficiency (IE) of triphenylmethane dyes such as Victoria blue, fast green, light green, malachite green, fuchsine base, fuchsine acid, crystal violet and methyl violet 6B in controlling corrosion of aluminium in phosphonic acid has been studied by Talati and Daraji using mass and polarization studies [19]. Several dyes such as Nile blue, indigo carmine organic dyes [20], crystal violet [21], congo red [22], methylene blue [23], basic yellow 13 [24], fluorescein [25], methyl orange [26], and eriochrome black-T [27] have been used as corrosion inhibitors. The present work is undertaken to investigate (i) the inhibition efficiency of malachite green in controlling corrosion of carbon steel immersed in well water (model corrosion medium) (Table 1) in the absence

 
 Table 1: Physico – chemical parameters of well water (model corrosion medium)

Parameter	Well Water
pН	8.38
Conductivity	3110 µmhos/cm
Total dissolved solids	2013 ppm
Chloride	665 ppm
Sulphate	14 ppm
Total hardness	1100 ppm

and presence of zinc ions; (ii) the influence of pH and immersion period on the inhibition efficiency and (iii) the protective film by UV-visible reflectance, FTIR and fluorescence spectra.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

## Preparation of the specimens

Carbon steel specimens (0.026% S, 0.06% P, 0.4% Mn, 0.1% C and rest iron) of the dimensions  $1.0 \times 4.0 \times 0.2$  cm were polished to a mirror finish, degreased with trichloroethylene, and used for the weight-loss method and surface examination studies.

Mass-loss method Determination of surface area of the specimens The length, breadth and the thickness of carbon

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$  2012 Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Union of Chemists in Bulgaria

<sup>\*</sup> To whom all correspondence should be sent:

E-mail: E-mail: srmjoany@sify.com

steel specimens and the radius of the holes were determined with the help of vernier calipers of high precision and the surface areas of the specimens were calculated.

# Weighing the specimens before and after corrosion

All the weighing of the carbon steel specimens before and after corrosion were carried out using Shimadzu Balance-AY62.

Corrosion rate =	<b>_</b>	Loss in weight (ing)	
		Surface area of the specimen $(dm^2) \times period of immersion (day$	ys)

Corrosion inhibition efficiency (IE) was then calculated using the equation.

$$IE = 100 [1 - (W_2/W_1)] \%$$
,

where  $W_1$  is the corrosion rate in absence of inhibitor; W2 is the corrosion rate in presence of inhibitor.

# Surface examination study

The carbon steel specimens were immersed in various test solutions for a period of one day. After one day, the specimens were taken out and dried. The nature of the film formed on the surface of metal specimen was analyzed by surface analysis technique, namely, FTIR, UV-visible reflectance and fluorescence spectroscopy.

# The UV-Visible Spectra

The UV-visible reflectance spectra were recorded using Hitachi U-3400 spectrophotometer. The same instrument was used for recording UVvisible absorption spectra of aqueous solutions also.

# Luminescence spectra

The luminescence spectra of solution and the film formed on the metal surface were recorded using Hitachi 650-10 S fluorescence spectrophotometer equipped with 150 W Xenon lamp and a Hamamatsu R 928 F photomultiplier tube.

# Potentiodynamic polarization study

Potentiodynamic polarization studies were carried out using CHI electro chemical impedance analyzer, model 660 A. A three electrode cell assembly was used. The working electrode was a rectangular specimen of carbon steel with one face of the electrode exposed and the rest shielded with red lacquer. A saturated calomel electrode (SCE) was used as a reference electrode and a rectangular platinum toil was used as the counter electrode.

# Determination of corrosion rate

The weighed specimen in triplicate were suspended by means of glass hooks in 100 ml beakers containing 100 ml various test solutions and after 24 hours of immersion, the specimens were taken out, washed in running water, dried and weighed. From the change in weights of the specimen, corrosion rates were calculated using the following relationship.

Loss in waight (mg)

(un J×L

The working electrode and platinum electrode were immersed in well water (model corrosion medium) in the absence and presence of inhibitor saturated calomel electrode was connected with the test solution through a salt bridge. Potential (E) vs log current (I) plots were then recorded. Corrosion potential ( $E_{corr}$ ) and Tafel slopes  $b_a$  and  $b_c$  were determined from E vs log I plots.

# AC impedance measurements

A CHI electrochemical impedance analyzer (Model 660A) was used for AC impedance measurements. A time interval of 5 to 10 minutes was given for the system to reach the stable open circuit potential. The real part (Z') and imaginary part (Z") of the cell impedance were measured in ohms for various frequencies. The Rt (charge transfer resistance) and  $C_{dl}$  (double layer capacitance) values were calculated. Equivalent circuit diagram is shown in Scheme 1.



Scheme 1: Equivalent circuit diagram

### Synergism parameters

Synergism parameters are indications of synergistic effect existing between two inhibitors.

Synergism parameters were calculated using the relation

$$S_I = \left| 1 - \theta_{1+2} / 1 - \theta'_{1+2} \right|$$

where  $\theta_{1+2} = (\theta_1 + \theta_2) - (\theta_1 \times \theta_2);$ 

- $\theta_1$  = surface coverage of substance 1;
- $\theta_2$  = surface coverage of substance 2;

-where  $\theta'_{1+2}$  = combined surface coverage of substance 1 & 2.

#### Analysis of variance (F-Test)

F-test was carried out to investigate whether the synergistic effect between CAE and  $Zn^{2+}$  was statistically significant.

# Analysis of the results of the mass loss method

The calculated inhibition efficiencies (IE) of malachite green in controlling the corrosion of carbon steel immersed in well water (model corrosion medium) both in the absence and presence of zinc ion have been tabulated in Table 2 and 3. The calculated values indicate the ability of malachite green to be a good corrosion inhibitor. The inhibition efficiency is found to be enhanced in the presence of zinc ion. The formulation consisting of 30 ppm of MG and 25 ppm of Zn<sup>2+</sup> offers 95% inhibition efficiency.

**Table 2:** Corrosion rates (CR) of carbon steel in well water (model corrosion medium) in the absence and presence of inhibitors and the inhibition efficiencies obtained by mass loss method. Inhibitor system: Malachite green (MG) +  $Zn^{2+}$ .Period of immersion: 1 day

MG ppm	Zn <sup>2+</sup>	CR mdd	IE %
11	ppm		
0	0	42.72	
10	0	16.36	61
30	0	15.45	63
50	0	8.18	80
70	0	7.27	82
90	0	2.72	93

**Table 3 :** Corrosion rates (CR) of carbon steel in well water (model corrosion medium) in the absence and presence of inhibitors and the inhibition efficiencies obtained by mass loss method. Inhibitor system : Malachite green (MG) +  $Zn^{2+}$ . Period of immersion: 1 day

MG ppm	$Zn^{2+}$	CR mdd	IE %
	ppm		
0	25	18.18	17
10	25	4.54	75
30	25	2.14	95
50	25	2.72	85
70	25	2.72	85
90	25	0.90	80

Influence of immersion period on the corrosion inhibition of carbon steel in well water (model corrosion medium) by  $MG + Zn^{2+}$  system

The corrosion rates of carbon steel in the presence of the inhibitor system in well water (model corrosion medium) for different durations of immersion are tabulated in Table 3.

The IE of 30 ppm MG–25 pm  $Zn^{2+}$  system is found to decrease as the immersion period

**Table 4:** Corrosion rates (CR) of carbon steel in well water (model corrosion medium) in the absence and presence of inhibitors and the inhibition efficiencies obtained by mass loss method. Inhibitor system: Malachite green (MG) +  $Zn^{2+}$ . Period of immersion: 1 day

-			
MG	$Zn^{2}$	CR	IE
ppm	<sup>+</sup> ppm	mdd	%
0	50	9.09	23
10	50	5.45	40
30	50	4.54	50
50	50	3.63	60
70	50	2.72	70
90	50	0.90	90

increases. This indicates that the protective film formed on the metal surface is unable to withstand the continuous attack of corrosive ions such as Clion (665 ppm) present in well water (model corrosion medium). There is a competition between the formation of FeCl<sub>2</sub> (and also FeCl<sub>3</sub>) and Fe<sup>2+</sup>-MG complex on the anodic sites of the metal surface. Analysis of results suggests that the formation of FeCl<sub>2</sub> is more favoured than the of Fe<sup>2+</sup>-MG formation complex. Similar observation has been made by Selvaraj et al., [28], while studying corrosion behaviour of carbon steel in presence of polyvinyl pyrrolidone.

**Table 5** :Influence of immersion period on the inhibition efficiency of the MG (30 pm) -  $Zn^{2+}$  (25 pm) Corrosion rates of carbon steel in well water (model corrosion medium) in the presence and absence of the inhibitor system and the inhibition efficiencies obtained by the mass loss method

Inhibitor system : MG (30 ppm) + $Zn^{2+}$ (25 ppm).						
MG ppm	Zn <sup>2+</sup> ppm	Immersion period days	Corrosion Rate mdd	Inhibition efficiency %		
0	0	1	42.72			
30	25	1	0.90	95		
0	0	3	47.31			
30	25	3	4.73	90		
0	0	5	49.25			
30	25	5	5.42	89		
0	0	7	54.01			
30	25	7	9.18	83		

# Influence of pH on inhibition efficiency of malachite green Zn<sup>2+</sup> system

Corrosion rates of carbon steel in well water (model corrosion medium) in the presence of the inhibitor system at different pH values and the IE are tabulated in Table 6.

At pH 8, the IE of MG  $Zn^{2+}$  system is 90%. When the pH is lowered to 6 (by the addition of

 $dil.H_2SO_4$ ), the IE decreases to 88 due to the attack of H<sup>+</sup> ion present in the acid, on protective film formed on the metal surface. When the pH decreased from 8 to 7 (by addition of diluted H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>). The IE decreases from 90 to 83. This is due to the fact that the protective film formed on the metal surface is broken by the attack of  $H^+$  ion [29]. The IE at pH 6 is found to be greater than that at pH 7. This is explained as follows: The inhibitor contains amino groups. When acid is added (pH = 6), protonation of amines takes place. Nitrogen atom attains positive charge. This positive charge and the  $(Fe^{2+})$  positive charge on the metal are coordinated through the chloride ions (665 ppm) which are also present on the metal surface. Thus, when acid is added (pH=6), inhibition efficiency increases.

**Table 6:** Influence of pH on the inhibition efficiency of MG (30 ppm) -  $Zn^{2+}$  (25 pm). Corrosion rates of carbon steel in well water (model corrosion medium) at different pH and the inhibition efficiencies obtained by the mass loss method. Inhibitor system : MG (30 pm) –  $Zn^{2+}$  25 ppm. Immersion period: 1 day.

MG ppm	Zn <sup>2+</sup> ppm	рН	Corrosion Rate mdd	IE %
0	0	7	30.27	
30	25	7	5.15	83
0	0	8	42.72	
30	25	8	1.82	90
0	0	6	32.78	
30	25	6	3.93	88

Influence of CTAB, SDS on the IE of  $MG + Zn^{2+}$ system

# Influence of CTAB

The corrosion rates of carbon steel in well water (model corrosion medium) containing  $Zn^{2+} - MG$  inhibitor formulation for various concentrations of N-Cetyl–N,N,N-trimethyl ammonium bromide (CTAB) are tabulated in Table 7.

**Table 7:** Influence of CTAB on the IE of MG +  $Zn^{2+}$  system. Corrosion rates of carbon steel in well water (model corrosion medium) in the presence and absence of the inhibitor system and the inhibition efficiencies obtained by mass loss method

Inhibitor system	: MG + 2	$Zn^{2+} + 0$	CTAB
------------------	----------	---------------	------

MG ppm	Zn <sup>2+</sup> ppm	CTAB ppm	CR mdd	IE %
30	25	0	2.14	95
30	25	5	6.36	73
30	25	10	0.15	34
30	25	15	9.09	61
30	25	20	9.09	61
30	25	25	4.54	80

It is seen that as the concentration of CTAB (a cationic surfactant) increases, the IE increases and

then decreases and again increases. The decrease in IE at a given concentration of CTAB is due to the fact, that at this concentration of CTAB, monomers are formed. Hence more number of CTAB molecules are adsorbed on metal surface. Hence IE increases, at higher concentrations of CTAB [30]. Similar observations have been made by. CTAB is a biocide [31]. It can control the corrosion caused by bacteria. The present study reveals that the formulation consisting of MG,  $Zn^{2+}$  and CTAB has excellent corrosion inhibitor efficiency. This formulation may find application in cooling water systems, provided the experiments are carried out at high temperature and under dynamic condition.

# Influence of SDS on the IE of $MG + Zn^{2+}$ system

The corrosion rates of carbon steel in well water (model corrosion medium) containing  $Zn^{2+} + MG$ 

**Table 8:** Influence of SDS on the IE of MG +  $Zn^{2+}$  system. Corrosion rates of carbon steel in well water (model corrosion medium) in presence and absence of the inhibitor system and the inhibition efficiencies obtained by the mass loss method. Inhibitor system : MG +  $Zn^{2+}$  + SDS

MG ppm	Zn <sup>2+</sup> ppm	SDS	CR mdd	IE %
30	25	0	2.14	95
30	25	5	12.73	53
30	25	10	9.09	66
30	25	15	8.18	70
30	25	20	5.45	80
30	25	25	4.54	83

inhibitor formulation for various concentrations of sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS) are tabulated in Table 8.

When various concentrations of an anionic surfactant, SDS, were added to the inhibitor system, the inhibition efficiency decreased and reached a minimum and then increased. A micelle would have been formed at the minimum efficiency concentration. Afterwards the micelles would have been converted into monomer, which improved the inhibition efficiency. When more amount of SDS is added, SDS exists as monomer. These monomers are easily adsorbed on the metal surface. A protective film is formed. This prevents corrosion of metal SDS is a biocide [32]. This formulation may find application in cooling water systems, provided the experiments are carried out at high temperature and dynamic condition.

## Synergism parameters

Synergism parameters have been calculated to evaluate the synergistic effect existing between two inhibitors [33–37]. There is synergistic effect when

the value of the synergism parameter is greater than 1.

The synergism parameters are given in Table 9. It is observed that the value of synergism parameter is greater than 1. This suggests that a synergistic effect exists between MG and  $Zn^{2+}$ . It is also interesting to note that the values of  $S_1$  are slightly smaller in the case of 50 ppm of  $Zn^{2+}$ , when compared with 25 ppm of  $Zn^{2+}$  (Fig.1). This is in agreement with inhibition efficiencies obtained by weight loss method. Thus the values of synergism parameter give a quantitative picture of synergism existing between two inhibitors.



**Fig. 1.** Synergism parameters as a function of concentration of MG.

**Table 9:** Synergism parameters derived from inhibition efficiencies (surface coverage,  $\theta$ ) of MG-Zn<sup>2+</sup> system

MG nnm	Zı	S	
wo ppm	0 ppm	25 ppm	SI
0		17	
10	61	75	1.345
30	63	95	2.142
50	80	85	3.293
70	82	85	3.404
90	93	80	3.01

**Table 10 :** Synergism parameters derived from inhibitor efficiencies (surface coverage,  $\theta$ ) of MG-Zn<sup>2+</sup>

	-		
MG ppm	Zı	C	
	0 ppm	50 ppm	$S_{I}$
0	-	23	-
10	61	40	0.399
30	63	50	0.510
50	80	60	0.965
70	82	70	1.338
90	93	90	4.861

# Analysis of variance (ANOVA)

F-test was carried out to find out whether the influence of  $Zn^{2+}$  on the inhibition efficiencies of MG is statistically significant [38-40]. The results are given in Tables 11 and 12.

In Table 11, the influence of 25 ppm of  $Zn^{2+}$  on the inhibition efficiencies of 7, 10, 30, 50, 70 and 90 pm MG is investigated. The obtained F-value 0.1071 is statistically insignificant, since it is less than the critical F-value 5.32, for 1,8 degrees of freedom at 0.1071 level of significance. Therefore, it is calculated that the influence of 25 ppm of  $Zn^{2+}$ on the inhibition efficiencies of various concentration of MG is not statistically significant.

In Table 12, the influence of 50 ppm of  $Zn^{2+}$  on the inhibition efficiencies of 10 ppm, 30 ppm, 50 pm, 70 ppm, 90 ppm of MG is investigated. The obtained F-value 0.4052 is statistically insignificant



**Fig. 2.** Polarization curves of carbon steel immersed in various test solutions. (a) -Well water (model corrosion medium).(b) -Well water (model corrosion medium) + MG 30 ppm +  $Zn^{2+}$  25 ppm.

since it is less than the critical F-value 5.32, for 1,8 degrees of freedom at 0.4052 level of significance. Therefore, it is concluded that the influence of 50 ppm of  $Zn^{2+}$  on the inhibition efficiencies of various concentrations of MG is not statistically significant.

**Table 11:** Distribution of F-value between the inhibition efficiencies of various concentrations of MG (0 ppm of  $Zn^{2+}$ ) the inhibition efficiencies of MG is the presence of 25 ppm of  $Zn^{2+}$ 

Source of variance	Sum of squares	Degree of freedom	Mean square	F	Level of significanc e of F
Between	168.1	1	168.1	0 1071	m <0.05
Within	12547.232	8	1568.404	0.10/1	p<0.03

**Table 12:** Distribution of F-value between the inhibition efficiencies of various concentrations of MG (0 ppm of  $Zn^{2+}$ ) and the inhibition efficiencies of MG is the presence of 50 ppm of  $Zn^2$ 

Source of variance	Sum of squares	Degree of freedom	Mean square	F	Level of significance of F
Between Within	476.1 9398.84	1 8	476.1 1174.85	0.4052	p < 0.05

# Analysis of polarization curves

Polarization study has been used to detect the formation of protective film on the metal surface [41–45]. When a protective film is formed on the metal surface, the linear polarization resistance (LPR) increases and the corrosion current ( $I_{corr}$ ) decreases. The potentiodynamic polarization curves of carbon steel immersed in various test solutions are shown in Fig.2. The corrosion parameters namely, corrosion potential ( $E_{corr}$ ), Tafel slopes ( $b_c$ =cathodic;  $b_a$ =anodic), linear polarization



**Fig. 3 :** AC impedance spectra of carbon steel immersed in various test solutions (Nyquist plots). (a) - Well water (model corrosion medium); (b)- Well water (model corrosion medium) + MG 30 ppm  $+Zn^{2+}$  25 ppm

resistance (LPR) and corrosion current (Icorr) are given in Table 13. When carbon steel is immersed in an aqueous solution containing well water (model corrosion medium) (665 ppm), the corrosion potential is -619 mV vs SCE. The formulation consisting of 30 ppm of malachite green solution (MG) and 25 ppm of Zn<sup>2+</sup> shifts the corrosion potential to -635 mV vs SCE. This suggests that the cathodic reaction is controlled predominantly. The LPR value increases from  $7.454 \times 10^3$  to  $16.71 \times 10^3$  ohm cm<sup>2</sup>. This suggests that a protective film is formed on the metal surface. Further the corrosion current decreases from 4.858 x  $10^{-6}$  A/cm<sup>2</sup> to 2.029 ×  $10^{-6}$  A/cm<sup>2</sup>. The IE calculated from corrosion current is 58%. This value is lower than the IE obtained by weight loss method (95%). The discrepancy may be explained by the fact that in electrochemical processes, the instantaneous corrosion current is measured.

# Analysis of AC impedance spectra

AC impedance spectra have been studied to detect the formation of film on the metal surface. If a protective film is formed, the charge transfer resistance increases and double layer capacitance value decrease [46–50]. The AC impedance spectra of carbon steel immersed in various solutions are shown in Figs.3 and 4. The AC impedance parameter, namely charge transfer resistance ( $R_t$ ) and double layer capacitance ( $C_{dl}$ ) (derived from Nyquist plot) are given in Table 14.

**Table 13.** Potentiodynamic polarization curves of carbon steel immersed in various test solution

System	Ecorr	b <sub>c</sub>	b.	LPR	Loor	A/cm <sup>2</sup>
~)~····	mV	mV/	mV/d	ohm cm <sup>2</sup>	-con	
	VS	deca	ecade			
	SCE	de				
Well water	-619	193	147	$7.454 \times 10^{3}$	4.858	$\times 10^{-6}$
(model corrosion						
medium)						
Well water	-635	192	132	$16.71 \times 10^3$	2.029	$\times 10^{-6}$
(model corrosion						
medium) + MG						
$30 \text{ ppm} + \text{Zn}^{2+} 25$						
nnm						

However, in the case of the weight loss method, IE is calculated after a long time. The protective film formed is strengthened as the duration of immersion increases.



Fig. 4a. AC impedance spectra of carbon steel immersed in various test solutions (impedance – Bode plots). (a) - Well water (model corrosion medium); (b) - Well water (model corrosion medium) + MG 30 ppm +  $Zn^{2+} 25$  ppm.

When carbon steel is immersed in aqueous solution containing well water (model corrosion medium). The  $R_t$  value is 1710 ohm cm<sup>2</sup> and  $C_{dl}$  value is 2.98 × 10<sup>-9</sup> F/cm<sup>2</sup>.

When MG and  $Zn^{2+}$  are added, the R<sub>t</sub> value increases from 1710 ohm cm<sup>2</sup> to 1748 ohm cm<sup>2</sup> and C<sub>dl</sub> value decrease from 2.98 × 10<sup>9</sup> F/cm<sup>2</sup> to 2.92 × 10<sup>-9</sup> F/cm<sup>2</sup>. This suggests that a protective film is formed on the surface of the metal. This accounts for the very high IE of MG Zn<sup>2+</sup> system. Further there is increase in impedance, log (z/Ohm), value from 3.909 to 3.343 (derived from Bode plot shown in Fig.4).

# 60.0 50.0 40.0 30.0 20.0 10.0 0 0 0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 2.5 3.0 3.5 4.0 4.5 5.0 log (Freq/Hz)

**Fig. 4b.** AC impedance spectra of carbon steel immersed in various test solutions (-phase – Bode plots).(a)-Well water (model corrosion medium (b) -Well water (model corrosion medium) + MG 30 ppm +  $Zn^{2+}$  25 ppm.

In electrochemical studies, instantaneous corrosion rate is measured. But in weight loss method corrosion rate is measured after a longer period in the present study after one day. After a longer period the protective film becomes more compact and stable. This accounts for the discrepancy in IE, obtained from AC impedance spectra and from weight loss method.

**Table 14:** AC impedance parameters of carbon steel

 immersed in various test solution.

System	$\begin{array}{c} R_t \\ ohm \\ cm^2 \end{array}$	C <sub>d1</sub> F/cm <sup>2</sup>	Impedance Value log(z/ohm)
Well water (model corrosion medium)	1710	$2.98 \times 10^{-9}$	3.309
MG (30 ppm) + Zn <sup>2+</sup> 25 ppm	1748	$2.92 \times 10^{-9}$	3.343

Analysis	: of	UV	visibl	le s	pectra
----------	------	----	--------	------	--------

The UV-visible absorption spectrum of an aqueous solution of MG and  $Fe^{2+}$  (freshly prepared FeSO<sub>4</sub> solution) is shown in Fig. 5. Peaks appear at 202, 313, 423 and 615 nm. This is due to the Fe<sup>2+</sup>-MG complex formed in solution [51,52].

The UV-visible reflectance spectrum of the film formed on the metal surface after immersion in the solution containing well water (model corrosion medium), 30 ppm of MG and 25 ppm of  $Zn^{2+}$  is shown in Fig. 6. Peaks appear at 202, 313, 423 and 615 nm.

These peaks match with those of the  $Fe^{2+}$ -MG complex in solution. Hence it is confirmed that the protective film consists of the  $Fe^{2+}$ -MG complex [53, 54].

Analysis of luminescence spectra

Fluorescence spectra were used to confirm the formation of a protective film on the metal surface during corrosion inhibition studies [55,56].

The luminescence spectrum ( $\lambda_{ex}$ =300 nm) of an aqueous solution of malachite green (MG) and freshly prepared Fe<sup>2+</sup> (FeSO<sub>4</sub>.7H<sub>2</sub>O) is shown in Fig. 7(a). Peaks appear at 336 nm, 364 nm, 410 nm, 441 nm and 521 nm. This spectrum is due to the formation of a MG-Fe<sup>2+</sup> complex in the solution.

The luminescence spectrum ( $\lambda_{ex}$ =300 nm) of the film formed on the metal surface after immersion in an aqueous solution containing well water (model corrosion medium), 30 ppm of MG, and 25 ppm of Zn<sup>2+</sup> is shown in Fig. 7(b). Peaks appear at 335 nm, 364 nm, 410 nm, 440 nm and 520 nm. These peaks match with the peaks of the Fe<sup>2+</sup>-MG complex formed in solution, which confirms that the protective film formed on the metal surface consists of the Fe<sup>2+</sup>-MG complex.



Fig.5. UV-visible absorption spectrum of an aqueous solution of  $MG + Fe^{2+}$ .



Fig. 6. The UV-visible reflectance spectrum of the film formed on metal after immersion in the solution containing Well water (model corrosion medium) + MG  $30 \text{ ppm} + \text{Zn}^{2+} 25 \text{ ppm}/$ 

# Analysis of FTIR spectra

The structure of MG is shown in Scheme 2. The FTIR spectrum (KBr) of pure MG is shown in Fig. 8(a). The peak at 1616 cm<sup>-1</sup> corresponds to aromatic -C=C- stretching frequency. The peak at 1319 cm<sup>-1</sup> corresponds to -N stretching frequency. Thus the structure of MG, namely,



**Fig.7b.** The luminescence spectrum of the film formed on the metal surface after immersion in aqueous containing well water (model corrosion medium), 30 ppm of MG and 25 ppm of Zn<sup>2+</sup>.



**Fig.8:** FTIR spectra. (a) pure MG; (b) - film formed on the carbon steel surface after immersion in a solution containing well water (model corrosion medium) + MG (30 ppm) +  $Zn^{2+}$  (25 ppm).

4-[(4-dimethylaminophenyl)-phenylmethyl-N,Ndimethyl aniline] is confirmed by FTIR [57].

The FTIR spectrum of the protective film formed on the surface of the metal after immersion in the well water (model corrosion medium) containing 25 ppm of  $Zn^{2+}$  and 30 ppm of MG is shown in Fig.8b. It is found that the disappearance of teritary nitrogen confirms the co-ordination through the -N atom. It is interesting to note that



Scheme 2. Structure of Malachite Green



Scheme 3. Adsorption of MG on metal surface.

the aromatic  $\pi$  electron peak at 1616 cm<sup>-1</sup> does not disappear in the FTIR spectrum of the protective film. It appears at 1616 cm<sup>-1</sup> without shift, which indicates that the aromatic  $\pi$ -electrons do not participate in the coordination with Fe<sup>2+</sup> on the metal surface. Thus, it is confirmed that the Fe<sup>2+</sup>-MG complex on the metal surface is formed through coordination of electrons of nitrogen atoms and Fe<sup>2+</sup> on the metal surface. The aromatic  $\pi$ -

and Fe<sup>2+</sup> on the metal surface. The aromatic  $\pi$ electrons do not participate in Fe<sup>2+</sup>-MG complex formation. The probable structure of this complex is shown in Scheme 3.

Parallel coordination is not possible due to steric hindrance. Hence, perpendicular coordination takes place. The peak at 1350 cm<sup>-1</sup> is due to zinc hydroxide. Thus, it follows from the FTIR spectral study that the protective film consists of the  $Fe^{2^+}$ -MG complex.

## Decolourisation process

Platinized titanium anode and graphite cathode were immersed in 100 ml of the solution to be decolorized. Current was passed for 10 minutes without addition of NaCl. Potential was 2 V; current density was 0.25 A/cm<sup>2</sup>. There was no discoloration. When 1 g of NaCl was added (1% solution supporting electrolyte), partial discoloration took place after passing current for 10 minutes, due to the low concentration of sodium hypochlorite produced. However, it is very interesting to note that when 2 g of NaCl were added (2% solution), the solution was completely discolored within a few seconds. Hence this formulation, namely 2% solution of NaCl, platinized titanium anode, graphite cathode, current density of 0.125 A/cm<sup>2</sup> and potential of 2 V may be used for a few seconds to discolor the solution of MG (20 ppm). The supporting electrolyte plays an important role in the oxidation process [58,59]. When NaCl solution is electrolysed, the active species produced is Cl<sup>+</sup> which oxidizes the colored product into a colorless one (Scheme 4).



Scheme 4. Mechanism of decolourisation.

The optical density value for coloured solution before decolourisation was 0.38 and after decolourisation was 0.01. Hence the efficiency of decolourisation is 96%.

The optical density of the colored solution before discoloration was 0.38 and after discoloration - 0.01. Hence, the efficiency of discoloration is 96%.

The main objection for using dyes as corrosion inhibitors in cooling water systems is the color of dye.

The present study offered a method of discoloration of the colored inhibitor solutions.

Table 15: The decolourising efficiency

System	Current Density A/cm <sup>2</sup>	Potential volts	NaCl g	OD	Efficiency %
MG before				0.38	
electrolysis MG after electrolysis	0.125	2	2	0.01	96

# CONCLUSION

The inhibition efficiency (IE) of the malachite green (MG)- $Zn^{2+}$  system in controlling corrosion of carbon steel in well water containing 665 ppm of Cl<sup>-</sup> (model corrosion medium) was evaluated by the mass-loss method. The present study leads to the following conclusions:

- The mass-loss study reveals that the formulation consisting of 30 ppm of MG and 25 ppm of Zn<sup>2+</sup> has 95% inhibition efficiency in controlling corrosion of carbon steel immersed in well water (model corrosion medium).
- The values of the synergistic parameters point to a synergistic effect between MG and Zn<sup>2+</sup>.
- The polarization study reveals that the formulation functions as a cathodic type inhibitor predominantly controlling the cathodic reaction.
- It follows from the AC impedance spectra that a protective film is formed on the metal surface.
- The FTIR spectra show that the protective film consists of the Fe<sup>2+</sup>-MG complex, which is further confirmed by the UV-visible spectra and fluorescence spectra.
- The colour of the dye can be removed by electrolysis using platinized titanium as anode and graphite as cathode.

Acknowledgement: The authors are thankful to UGC and to their managements for their encouragement.

## REFERENCES

- 1. M. Cohen, Corrosion, 32, 461 (1976).
- 2. S. Sanyal, Bull.Electrochem., 6, 392 (1990).
- 3. J.L. Mansa, W. Szybalski, Corrosion, 8, 381 (1952).
- K.S. Rajagopalan, K. Venu, *Indian J.Techn.*, 6, 239 (1968).
- J.W. Wood, J.S. Beecher, P.S. Lawrence, *Corrosion*, 13, 41 (1957).
- E.V. Bogatyreva, S.A. Balezin, *Zh.Priklad. Khim.*, 35, 1071 (1962).
- E.V. Bogatyreva, V.V. Nagaev, *Zh.Priklad. Khim.*, 35, 550 (1962).
- 8. S. Rajendran, B.V. Apparao, N. Palaniswamy, J. Electro. Chem. Soc. India, 47, 43 (1998).
- S. Rajendran, B.V. Apparao, A.Mani, N. Palaniswamy, *Anti-Corrosion Methods & Materials*, 45, 25 (1998).
- 10. Y.I. Kuzentzov, T.I. Bardasheva, Zashch Met., 24, 234 (1988).
- K. Airey, R.D. Armstrong, T. Handside, *Corrosion Sci.*, 28, 449 (1998).

- S. Rajendran, B.V. Apparao, N. Palaniswamy, Anti-Corrosion Methods & Materials, 47, 294 (2000).
- S. Rajendran, B.V. Apparao, N. Palaniswamy, Anti-Corrosion Methods & Materials, 44, 308 (1997).
- S. Rajendran, S. Vaibhavi, N. Anthony, D.C. Trivedi, *Corrosion*, **59**, 529 (2003).
- S. Rajendran, A.J. Amalraj, M.J. Joice, N. Anthony, D.C. Trivedi, M. Sunderavadivelu, *Corrosion Reviews*, 22, 233 (2004).
- 16. J.D. Talati, D.K. Gandhi, Werkst. Korros., **33**, 155 (1982).
- 17. J.D. Talati, D.K. Gandhi, *Indian J. Technol.*, **20**, 312 (1982).
- 18. J.D. Talati, D.K. Gandhi, Corrosion, 40, 88 (1984).
- J.D. Talati, J.M. Daraji, J. Electrochem. Soc. India, 35, 175 (1986).
- M. Abdeli, N.P. Ahmadi, R.A. Khosroshahi, Journal of Solid State Electrochemistry, 14, 1317 (2010).
- 21. E.E. Oguzie, V.O. Njoku, C.K. Enenebeaku, C.O. Akalezi, C. Obi, *Corrosion Sci.*, **50**, 3480 (2008).
- 22. E.E. Oguzie, *Materials Chem. &Phys.*, **87**, 212-217 (2004).
- E.E. Oguzie, B.N. Okolue, E.E. Ebenso, G.N. Onuoha, A.J. Onuchukwu, *Materials Chem. & Phys*, 87, 394-401 (2004).
- 24. H. Ashassi-Sorkhabi, B. Masoumi, P. Ejbari, E. Asghari, J. Appl. Electrochem., **39**, 1497 (2009).
- J. Sathiyabama, S. Rajendran, J.A. Selvi, J. Jeyasundari, *Bul. Chem. Commun.*, 41, 374 (2009)
- J. Sathiyabama, S. Rajendran, J.A. Selvi and A.J. Amalraj, *Ind.ian J. Chem. Technol.*, 15, 462 (2008).
- J. Sathiyabama, S. Rajendran, J. Arockia Selvi, *Bull. Electrochem.*, 22, 363 (2006).
- S.K. Selvaraj, A.J. Kennedy, A.J. Amalraj, S. Rajendran, N. Palaniswamy, *Corrosion Reviews*, 22, 219 (2004).
- 29. A. Jeyashree, F. Rajammal Selvarani, J. Wilson Sahayaraj, A. John Amalraj, S. Rajendran, *Portug. Electrochim. Acta*, **27**, 23 (2009).
- S. Rajendran, R. Maria Joany, N. Palaniswamy, Corrosion Reviews, 20, 231 (2002).
- S. Rajendran, B.V. Apparao, N. Palaniswamy, *Bull. Electrochem.*, 13, 441 (1997).
- A. Leema Rose, F.R. Selva Rani, A.P.P. Regis, S. Rajendran, A. Krishnaveni, *Zastita Materijala*, 51, 143 (2010).
- 33. S.A. Kanimozhi, S. Rajendran, *Int. J. Electrochem. Sci.*, **4**, 353 (2009).
- N. Anthony, H. Benita Sherine, *Arabian J. Sci. Eng.*, 35, 41 (2010).
- S. Rajendran, M. Agasta, R. Bama Devi, B. Shyamala Devi, K. Rajam, J. Jeyasundari, *Zastita Materijala*, 50, 77 (2009).
- S. Rajendran , S. Shamugapriya, T. Rajalakshmi, A. John Amalraj, *Corrosion*, 61 (2005) 685.
- K. Anuradha, R.Vimala, B. Narayanaswamy, A. Arockia Selvi, S. Raji, *Chem. Eng. Commun.*, 195, 352 (2008).

- S. Agnesia Kanimozhi, S. Rajendran, *The Open Corrosion*, 2, 166 (2009).
- S. Rajendran, A. Raji, J. Arockia Selvi, A. Rosaly, S. Thangasamy, EDUTRACKS, 6, 30 (2007).
- 40. S. Agnesia Kanimozhi, S. Rajendran, *The Open Corrosion Journal*, **2**, 166 (2009).
- N. Antony, H. Bentia Sherine, S. Rajendran, Int. J. Engi. Sci. Technol., 2, 2774 (2010).
- S. Rajendran, M. Kalpana Devi, A. Peter Pascal Regis, A. John Amalraj, J. Jeya Sundari, M. Manivannan, *Zastita Materijala*, **50**, 131 (2009).
- A. Raji, S. Rajendran, P. Sivaprabha, J. Arockia Selvi, B. Narayanasamy, J. Jeyasundari, *Zastita Materijala*, **50**, 153 (2009).
- L. Rose, N. Antony, F.R. Selvarani, A.P.P. Regis, S. Rajendran, *Zastita Materijala*, 50, 167 (2009).
- S. Rajendran, M. Kanagamani, M. Sivakalaivani, J. Jeyasundari, B. Narayanasamy and K. Rajam, Zastita Materijala, 49, 19 (2008).
- R. Kalaivani, B. Narayanasamy, J.A. Selvi, A.J. Amalraj, J. Jeyasundari, S. Rajendran, *Portug. Electrochim. Acta*, 27, 177 (2009).
- S. Rajendran, J. Jeyasundari, P. Usha, J.A. Selvi, B. Narayanasamy, A.P.P.Regis, P. Rengan, *Portug. Electrochim. Acta*, 27, 153 (2009).
- A. Jayashree, F. Rajammal Selvarani, J.W. Sahayaraj, A. John Amalraj and S. Rajendran, *Portugaliae Electrochim Acta*, 27, 23 (2009).
- J. Arockia Selvi, S. Rajendran, V. Ganga Sri, A. John Amal Raj, B. Narayanaswamy, *Portug. Electrochim. Acta*, 27, 1 (2009).
- P. Shanthy, P. Rengan, A. Thamarai Chelvan, K. Rathika, S. Rajendran, *Indian J. Chem. Technol.* 16, 328 (2009).
- S. Rajendran, R. Maria Joany, B.V. Apparoa, N. Palanisamy, *Indian J. Chem. Technol.*, 9, 197 (2002).
- S. Rajendran, B.R. Earnest John Peter, A.P.P. Regis, A.J. Amalraj, M. Sundaravadivelu, *Transactions of the SAEST*, 38, 11 (2003).
- S. Rajendran, B.V. Aparao, N. Palaniswamy, Anti-Corr. Meth. Mater., 45, 338 (1998).
- S. Rajendran, B.V. Apparao, N. Palaniswamy, Anti-Corr. Meth. Mater, 45, 256 (1998).
- S. Rajendran, S. Vaibhavi, N. Anthony, D.C. Trivedi, *Corrosion*, 59, 529 (2003).
- S. Rajendran, S.P. Sridevi, N. Anthony, Amalraj, M. Sundaravadivelu, *Anti-Corr. Meth. Mater*, **52**, 102 (2005).
- R.M. Silverstein, G.C. Bassler, T.C. Morril, Spectrometric identification of organic compounds New York, NY, 95 (1986).
- S. Rajendran, D.C.C. Trivedi, J. Synthesis, Feb.1995, p.153.
- R.I. Dotson, R.W.I. Ynch, J.Electrochem. Soc., 128, 798 (1981).

# ИНХИБИРАЩО ДЕЙСТВИЕ НА СИСТЕМАТА Zn<sup>2+</sup> -МАЛАХИТОВО ЗЕЛЕНО В. Джонсирани<sup>1</sup>, С. Раджендран<sup>1,2</sup>, Дж. Сатябама<sup>1</sup>, Т.С. Мутхумегала<sup>1</sup>, А. Кришнавени<sup>3</sup>, Н. Хаджара Бииви<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1)</sup> Изследователски депертамент по химия, GTN колеж по изкуствата, Диндигул – 624005, Тамил Наду, Индия

<sup>2)</sup> RVS Училище по инженерство и технология, Диндигул – 624005, Тамил Наду, Индия <sup>3)</sup> Департамент по химия, Колеж Ядава, Мадурай, Индия 4<sup>)</sup> Департамент по химия, В.S. Университет Абдур Рахман, Вандалур, Ченаи-600048, Индия

Постъпила на 7 януари, 2011 г.; коригирана на 29 март, 2011 г.

# (Резюме)

Ефективността на инхибиране (IE) на системата  $Zn^{2+}$  - малахитово зелено (MG) при корозията на въглеродна стомана е оценена по метода за загуба на теглото. Използвана е моделна среда, съдържаща 665 ppm хлоридни йни. Изследванията показват, че препаратът, съдържащ 30 ppm от MG и 25 ppm от  $Zn^{2+}$  има инхибираща ефективност от 95% при използваната моделна среда. Има данни за синергизъм на MG и  $Zn^{2+}$ . Поляризационните изследвания показват, че системата работи като инхибитор от катоден тип, т.е. контролираща предимно катодната реакция. АС-импедансните спектри показват, че върху металната повърхност се образува защитен филм. FTIR-спектрите показват, че този филм се състои от комплекс между Fe<sup>2+</sup> и багрилото. Този резултат е потвърден UV-Vis и флуоресцентна спектроскопия. Оцветяването може да се отстрани чрез електролиза при анод от платинизиран и графит като катод.